Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application I	Applicant(s)
	09/751,748	HUDEPOHL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William M. Treat	2183
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) William M. Treat.	(3)	
(2) <u>James W. Huffman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: none		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's rep[resentative expressed surprise in an ealier voice message that the interview summary form called for a response on his part. The examiner apologized and explained he had selected the wrong interview summary form. The examiner is sending a Supplemental Notice of Allowance because he failed to indicate the allowed claims on the previous form. He is also checking the correct box on this form which should have been checked on the previous interview summary form. Applicant does not need to provide a response..